





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/537,023	03/28/2000	Paul Steinway	046614.008017	5075	
7	590 11/25/2002				
Bracewell & Patterson LLP			EXAMINER		
South Tower P 711 Louisiana	*		CUEVAS, PEDRO J		
Suite 2900 Houston, TX 77002-2781			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, 17	77002-2701		2834		
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· My			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/537,023	STEINWAY, PAUL			
, Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE And	Pedro J. Cuevas	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 October 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undoping Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal meer <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-10</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	l/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome					
a) The translation of the foreign language p		•			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	S. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	· ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/537,023

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,442,908 to Briesch et al.

Briesch et al. clearly teaches the construction of a combined combustion and steam turbine power plant comprising at least two mechanically separate but electrically connected and thermodynamically communicated turbines comprising:

at least one industrial gas turbine for producing electric power; at least one aero-derivative gas turbine for producing electric power; and including:

- a steam turbine unit,
- a boiler unit, and
- a combustion turbine unit with an exhaust gas duct structure, having at least one heat recovery steam generator arranged in the exhaust gas flow downstream of the boiler unit and connected to the steam-turbine unit;

for the purpose of:

supplying steam to the steam turbine unit,
supplying the turbine exhaust gases to the boiler unit.

Application/Control Number: 09/537,023

Art Unit: 2834

supplying any steam generated in the heat recovery steam generator to the steam turbine unit, and

providing improved efficiency at either full-load or part-load operation.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the use the combined system configuration disclosed by Briesch et al. on the alternating current electric energy system disclosed by Kalitventzeff et al. for the purpose of providing improved efficiency at either full-load or part-load operation of the complete system.

3. With regards to claims 5-7, it should be emphasized that "apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art." MPEP 2114. In re Danly, 263 F. 2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959) it was held that apparatus claims must be distinguished from prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In Hewlett-Packard Co v Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990), the court held that: "Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what it does." (emphases in original). To emphasize the point further, the court added: "An invention need not operate differently than the prior art to be patentable, but need only be different" (emphases in original).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

→ Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R. Ramírez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Pedro J. Cuevas November 21, 2002